

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,625	06/01/2000	Makoto Sasaki	13629	8184
9629	7590 11/20/2002			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
	1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		HU, SHOUXIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
		DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/555,625	SASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shouxiang Hu	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	<u>ugust 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-10,12-19 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the etterhold detailed Office action for a list of the partition against actions as traceived.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 2-10 and 12-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No.6 and 8.

In addition, Newly added claim 21 is also withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to an invention not readable on applicant elected species. Claim 21 recited the subject matter of a Cu layer surrounded fully by a Ti layer and also covered at least partially by a titanium oxide layer, which is readable on the species 2 of Fig. 2 in view of Fig. 1, but it not readable on the elected species of Fig. 3 in view of Figs. 1 and 7A-8C.

Accordingly claims 1-21 are pending in this application; and claims 1, 11 and 20 are active in this Office action.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 8/26/02 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1, 11 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

In claim 1, lines 1 and 2, the term of "surrounded" should read as —coated— or -covered—, as the word of "surround" may mean to extend on all sides of simultaneously
or to encircle.

In claims 1 and 11, the term of "wiring" should read as -wire-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 11 and 20, as being best understood in view of the above claim objections, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinichi (JP 3-152807, 06/91; of record) in view of Masaki (JP 10-153788, 06/98; of record).

Shinichi discloses a TFT substrate (Figs. 1-4; also see the English Abstract), comprising a wire formed of a layer comprising Cu (12b) coated with a Ti layer (102a) along the bottom side.

Shinichi does not expressly disclose that the wire can also be covered by a titanium oxide layer along at least three sides. However, one of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 09/555,625

Art Unit: 2811

would readily recognize that a titanium oxide layer can be used to covered a wire comprising Cu for improving the wire's corrosion resistance, as evidenced in Masaki. Masaki teaches to form a wire comprising Cu coated with a metal oxide layer, wherein the metal oxide can be titanium oxide (see the last sentence in its DERWENT BASIC-ABSTRACT).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the TFT device of Shinichi with the Cu-comprised wire being further covered with a titanium oxide layer along three or more sides, as taught in Masaki, so that a TFT device with improved corrosion resistance would be achieved.

Regarding claim 20, an LCD device such as the one in Shinichi normally inherently comprises a second substrate opposing the TFT-forming substrate with the liquid crystal layer therebetween.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/555,625

Art Unit: 2811

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM

to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318

for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

SH

November 15, 2002

Shousdareffle

Page 5